

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL EDWARD DURAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

LONGORIA,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00289-HBK (PC)

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO CORRECT
MISIDENTIFIED DOCKET ENTRY

(Doc. No. 76)

On January 24, 2025, pro se Plaintiff filed a pleading titled “Objections to Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment,” which was misidentified when docketed by the Clerk as Plaintiff’s “Objections to Findings and Recommendations.” (Doc. No. 76).¹ In an abundance of caution, the Court will direct the Clerk to correct the misidentified pleading on the docket. Defendant shall file a reply, if any, to Plaintiff’s opposition within fourteen (14) days of this date on this Order correcting the misidentified pleading. Local Rule 230(l).

ACCORDINGLY, it is **ORDERED**:

1. The Clerk of Court shall correct the docket to reflect that Plaintiff’s pleading filed on January 24, 2025 (Doc. No. 76) is properly titled “Plaintiff’s Objections to

¹ The Clerk further linked the pleading the Findings and Recommendations issued on April 5, 2023 (Doc. No. 27), which were adopted by the district court on April 7, 2023 (Doc. No. 30).

1 Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment."

- 2 2. Defendant's reply, if any, to Plaintiff's opposition is due within fourteen (14) days of
3 this Order.

4
5 Dated: January 28, 2025


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE